IN AND FOR THE

Fifth Appellate District

COURTROOM PROCEEDINGS

The court met in its courtroom at 10:00 A.M. Present: Honorable James A. Ardaiz, Presiding Justice; Honorable Steven M. Vartabedian, Associate Justice; Honorable Thomas A. Harris, Associate Justice; and Eve Sproule, Court Administrator/Clerk, by Diana Monopoli, Deputy Clerk.

F026792 People v. Buchanan

Cause called and argued by Terry Bunfill, Esq., counsel for appellant and by Julie A. Hokans, Deputy Attorney General, counsel for respondent.

Cause ordered submitted.

At this point Ardaiz, P.J. directs Stone (W.A.), J. to act as Presiding Justice in his absence and leaves the bench with Harris, J.; they are replaced by Stone (W.A.), J. and Thaxter, J.

F027544 Agresti, et al v. The Bohart Company, Inc., et al

Cause called and argued by Walter T.Schmidt, Esq., counsel for appellant and by Jack A. Klauschie, Jr., Esq., counsel for respondent.

Cause ordered submitted.

At this point Stone (W.A.), Acting P.J. directs Dibiaso, J. to act as Presiding Justice in his absence and leaves the bench with Vartabedian, J.; they are replaced by Dibiaso, J. and Harris, J.

F027856 People v. Henry

Cause called and argued by Laura G. Schaefer, Esq., counsel for appellant. Edgar A. Kerry, Deputy Attorney General, counsel for respondent waived oral argument.

Cause ordered submitted.

Court recessed until Friday, January 9, 1998 at 1:30 P.M.

IN AND FOR THE

Fifth Appellate District

F027423 People v. Cole

The judgment is affirmed.

By the Court.

[NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS]

F027729 People v. Hart

The judgment is affirmed.

By the Court.

[NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS]

F028433 In re Melvin S., Jr., et al., Minors

The judgment is affirmed.

By the Court.

[NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS]

F025463 People v. Watson

The judgment is affirmed. Buckley, J.

We concur: Dibiaso, Acting P.J.; Thaxter, J.

[NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS]

F027266 People v. Righetti

Counsel having failed to request oral argument in the above-entitled case, oral argument is deemed waived in accordance with the provisions of a notice heretofore mailed to counsel and the cause is submitted.

F027953 People v. Matthews

Counsel having failed to request oral argument in the above-entitled case, oral argument is deemed waived in accordance with the provisions of a notice heretofore mailed to counsel and the cause is submitted.

F027612 People v. Silva

Counsel having failed to request oral argument in the above-entitled case, oral argument is deemed waived in accordance with the provisions of a notice heretofore mailed to counsel and the cause is submitted.

IN AND FOR THE

Fifth Appellate District

F026384 People v. Starr

Counsel having failed to request oral argument in the above-entitled case, oral argument is deemed waived in accordance with the provisions of a notice heretofore mailed to counsel and the cause is submitted.

F027692 In re Jonathan H., a Minor

The judgment is affirmed.

By the Court.

[NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS]

F027810 People v. Beard

Counsel having failed to request oral argument in the above-entitled case, oral argument is deemed waived in accordance with the provisions of a notice heretofore mailed to counsel and the cause is submitted.

F027940 People v. Houston

Counsel having failed to request oral argument in the above-entitled case, oral argument is deemed waived in accordance with the provisions of a notice heretofore mailed to counsel and the cause is submitted.

F027482 People v. Duhe

The judgment is affirmed.

By the Court.

[NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS]

F028478 People v. Zuniga

No brief having been filed by appellant after notice duly given under rule 17(c) of the California Rules of Court, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the appeal in the above-entitled action is dismissed.

F026658 People v.Betancur

The judgment is affirmed.

By the Court.

[NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS]

IN AND FOR THE

Fifth Appellate District

F029829 Alexander v. County of Fresno

Appellant having failed to deposit the statutory filing fee of \$250.00 as required by rules 1 and 10, California Rules of Court, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the appeal in the above-entitled action is dismissed.